Darboux transformation of the generalized coupled dispersionless integrable system

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.
2009 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42065203
(http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/42/6/065203)
View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:
IP Address: 171.66.16.156
The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 08:29

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# Darboux transformation of the generalized coupled dispersionless integrable system 

M Hassan<br>Department of Mathematics, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QW, UK and<br>Department of Physics, University of the Punjab, Quaid-e-Azam Campus, Lahore 54590, Pakistan<br>E-mail: mhassan@physics.pu.edu.pk and mhassan@maths.gla.ac.uk

Received 14 October 2008, in final form 24 November 2008
Published 14 January 2009
Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/42/065203


#### Abstract

The Darboux transformation on matrix solutions to the generalized coupled dispersionless integrable system based on a non-Abelian Lie group is studied, and the solutions are shown to be expressed in terms of quasideterminants. As an explicit example, the Darboux transformation on scalar solutions to the system based on the Lie group $S U(2)$ is discussed in detail, and the solutions are shown to be expressed as ratios of determinants.


PACS numbers: $02.30 . \mathrm{Zz}, 02.30 . \mathrm{Ik}$

## 1. Introduction

There has been a considerable interest in dispersionless integrable hierarchies for the last couple of decades, partly because of their emergence in diverse areas of mathematical and theoretical physics such as quantum field theory, conformal field theory, string theory, soliton theory, etc (see e.g. [1-7]). These integrable systems arise as a quasi-classical limit of the ordinary integrable systems. In other words, the quasi-classical limit corresponds to the solutions which slowly depend on the independent variables resulting in the elimination of the dispersion term of the original integrable equation. In recent past, the coupled dispersionless integrable system and its generalization has also attracted a great deal of interest because of its nice integrability structure and soliton solutions [8-15]. However, it should be mentioned here that the coupled dispersionless integrable system introduced in [8-13] is referred to as dispersionless in the sense that it does not contain the dispersion term and not in the sense of quasi-classical limit of some ordinary integrable systems where the dispersion term is eliminated by taking an appropriate limit. The coupled dispersionless integrable system and its generalization is solvable by the inverse scattering method, possesses an infinite number of conservation laws and has the Painleve property [8-15]. For the case of Lie group $S U$ (2), the
multi-soliton solutions have been investigated using Backlund and Darboux transformations [16, 17].

In this paper, we study the Darboux transformation of the generalized coupled dispersionless integrable system based on the Lie group $\mathcal{G}$ and obtain its solutions expressed in terms of quasideterminants of Gelfand and Retakh [18-20]. For the special case of $\mathcal{G}=S U(2)$, we show that the scalar solutions to the system are expressed as ratios of determinants showing the transition from the matrix case to the scalar case. We compare our results with those of [17] and also with the previously known results of the sine-Gordon system. For the construction of multi-solitons we use the method of Darboux matrix, and by iteration of the Darboux transformation we obtain the quasideterminant solutions to the generalized coupled dispersionless integrable system.

The layout of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we give a review of the generalized coupled dispersionless integrable system by writing its Lagrangian, Lagrange equations of motion and the Lax pair. In section 2, we also introduce the notion of quasideterminants which shall be used in section 3 to express the solutions. In section 3, we define a Darboux transformation via a Darboux matrix on matrix solutions to the generalized coupled dispersionless integrable system and express the solutions to the system in a closed form as quasideterminants. In section 4, we take an explicit example of the system when the underlying Lie group is $S U(2)$ and write its multi-soliton solutions as ratios of determinants by using the Darboux transformation already discussed in section 3. At the end we compare our results with the already-known results and make concluding remarks in section 5.

## 2. The generalized coupled dispersionless integrable system

The generalized coupled dispersionless integrable system based on the non-Abelian Lie group $\mathcal{G}$ is a classical Lagrangian field theory defined by the following action functional [12]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} x \mathcal{L}\left(S, \partial_{x} S, \partial_{t} S\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the Lagrangian density defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x} S \partial_{t} S-\frac{1}{3} G\left[S,\left[\partial_{x} S, S\right]\right]\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ is a matrix field and $G$ is a constant matrix; taking values in the non-Abelian Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}$ of the Lie group $\mathcal{G}$. Let us introduce a basis of anti-Hermitian generators $T^{a}$ for $\mathbf{g}$ with real, totally antisymmetric structure constants $f^{a b c}$ and normalization given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[T^{a}, T^{b}\right]=f^{a b c} T^{c}, \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(T^{a} T^{b}\right)=-\delta^{a b} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $X \in \mathbf{g}$ we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=T^{a} X^{a}, \quad X^{a}=-\operatorname{Tr}\left(T^{a} X\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix fields $S$ and $G$ of the generalized coupled dispersionless system (2.2) take values in the Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}$, therefore, we write

$$
S=\phi^{a} T^{a}, \quad G=\kappa^{a} T^{a}
$$

where $\phi=\phi(x, t)$ is a vector field with components $\left\{\phi^{a}, a=1,2, \ldots, \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{g}\right\}$ and $\kappa$ is a constant vector with components $\left\{\kappa^{a}, a=1,2, \ldots, \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{g}\right\}$.

The Lagrange equation of motion resulting from the action is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \partial_{x} S-\left[[S, G], \partial_{x} S\right]=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The field equation (2.5) is known as the generalized coupled dispersionless integrable system based on a Lie group $\mathcal{G}$. Equation (2.5) has an $n \times n$ AKNS (Ablowitz-Kaup-Newell-Segur)
representation, and the nonlinearity of the equation is due to the non-Abelian character of the underlying Lie group. Equation (2.5) is a generalization of the coupled dispersionless equation originally introduced in [8] for $\mathcal{G}=S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $S U(2)$. For $\mathcal{G}=S L(2, \mathbb{R})$, equation (2.5) reduces to

$$
\partial_{t} \partial_{x} q+\partial_{x}(r s)=0, \quad \partial_{t} \partial_{x} r-2 \partial_{x} q r=0, \quad \partial_{t} \partial_{x} s-2 \partial_{x} q s=0,
$$

where $q, r$ and $s$ are the real valued functions of $x$ and $t$. For $\mathcal{G}=S U(2)$, the system (2.5) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \partial_{x} q+r \partial_{x} \bar{r}=0, \quad \partial_{t} \partial_{x} r-2 \partial_{x} q r=0, \quad \partial_{t} \partial_{x} \bar{r}-2 \partial_{x} q \bar{r}=0, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is a complex valued function and $\bar{r}$ denotes the complex conjugation of $r$. The system (2.6) is in fact equivalent to the Pohlmeyer-Lund-Regge system [10]. If $r$ is real then the system (2.6) is the one originally proposed in [8] and is equivalent to the sine-Gordon equation [9]. With reference to the Darboux transformation, we shall discuss it in detail in section 4.

The generalized coupled dispersionless integrable system (2.5) can be expressed as the compatibility condition of the following Lax pair [12]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{x} \psi & =U(x, t, \lambda) \psi,  \tag{2.7}\\
\partial_{t} \psi & =V(x, t, \lambda) \psi, \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi \in \mathcal{G}, \lambda$ is the spectral parameter and the matrix fields $U(x, t, \lambda)$ and $V(x, t, \lambda)$ are given by

$$
U(x, t, \lambda)=\lambda \partial_{x} S, \quad V(x, t, \lambda)=[S, G]+\lambda^{-1} G .
$$

The compatibility condition of (2.7) and (2.8) is the zero-curvature condition for the matrices $U(x, t, \lambda)$ and $V(x, t, \lambda)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} U(x, t, \lambda)-\partial_{x} V(x, t, \lambda)+[U(x, t, \lambda), V(x, t, \lambda)]=0, \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to the equation of motion (2.5). In the following section, we define the Darboux transformation via a Darboux matrix on matrix solutions $\psi$ of the Lax pair (2.7)(2.8). To write down the explicit expressions for matrix solutions to the generalized coupled dispersionless integrable system, we will use the notion of a quasideterminant introduced by Gelfand and Retakh [18-20].

Let $X$ be an $n \times n$ matrix over a ring $R$ (non-commutative, in general). For any $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n$, let $r_{i}$ be the $i$ th row and $c_{j}$ be the $j$ th column of $X$. The matrix $X$ has $n^{2}$ quasideterminants denoted by $|X|_{i j}$ for $i, j=1, \ldots, n$ and are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|X|_{i j}=x_{i j}-r_{i}^{j}\left(X^{i j}\right)^{-1} c_{j}^{i}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{i j}$ is the $i j$ th entry of $X, r_{i}^{j}$ represents the $i$ th row of $X$ without the $j$ th entry, $c_{j}^{i}$ represents the $j$ th column of $X$ without the $i$ th entry and $X^{i j}$ is the submatrix of $X$ obtained by removing from $X$ the $i$ th row and the $j$ th column. The quasideterminats are also denoted by the following notation:

$$
|X|_{i j}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
X^{i j} & c_{j}^{i}  \tag{2.11}\\
r_{i}^{j} & x_{i j}
\end{array}\right|
$$

If the entries of the matrix $X$ all commute, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|X|_{i j}=(-1)^{i+j} \frac{\operatorname{det} X}{\operatorname{det} X^{i j}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a detailed account of quasideterminants and their properties see e.g. [18-20]. In this paper, we will consider only quasideterminants that are expanded about an $n \times n$ matrix over a commutative ring. In our case the ring $R$ is the (non-commutative) ring of $n \times n$ matrices over another commutative ring. Let

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right)
$$

be a block decomposition of any $N \times N$ matrix where the matrix $D$ is $n \times n$ and $A$ is invertible. The quasideterminant expanded about the matrix $D$ is defined by

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
A & B  \tag{2.13}\\
C & D
\end{array}\right|=D-C A^{-1} B
$$

## 3. Darboux transformation

The Darboux transformation on the matrix solutions of the Lax pair (2.7)-(2.8) is defined in terms of an $n \times n$ matrix $D(x, t, \lambda)$, called the Darboux matrix. The Darboux matrix connects the two matrix solutions of the Lax pair (2.7)-(2.8), such that the Lax pair is covariant under the Darboux transformation (see e.g. [22-28]). Let us denote the new matrix solution to the Lax pair (2.7)-(2.8) by $\psi[1]$, so that the Darboux transformation is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi[1]=D(x, t, \lambda) \psi \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the present case, we make the following ansatz for the Darboux matrix:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(x, t, \lambda)=\lambda^{-1} I-M(x, t) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M(x, t)$ is some $n \times n$ matrix field to be determined and $I$ is an $n \times n$ identity matrix. For the covariance of the Lax pair, we require that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{x} \psi[1]=\lambda \partial_{x} S[1] \psi[1],  \tag{3.3}\\
& \partial_{t} \psi[1]=[S[1], G[1]] \psi[1]+\lambda^{-1} G[1] \psi[1] \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $S[1]$ and $G[1]$ are the Darboux transformed matrix fields defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& S[1]=S-M \\
& G[1]=G \quad(\text { constant matrix }) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

such that the matrix $M$ is required to satisfy the following conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{x} M M=\left[\partial_{x} S, M\right]  \tag{3.6}\\
& \partial_{t} M=[[S, G], M]+[G, M] M . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The next step is to determine the matrix $M$ in terms of a particular solution of the Lax pair, such that the $M$ satisfies conditions (3.6) and (3.7). For this purpose, we proceed as follows.

Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ be non-zero, distinct real or complex constant parameters and $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ be constant column vectors such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta=\left(\psi\left(\lambda_{1}\right) e_{1}, \ldots, \psi\left(\lambda_{n}\right) e_{n}\right)=\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

be an invertible $n \times n$ matrix. Each column $\theta_{i}=\psi\left(\lambda_{i}\right) e_{i}$ of the matrix $\Theta$ is a column solution of the Lax pair (2.7) and (2.8) at $\lambda=\lambda_{i}$, i.e. the columns $\theta_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x} \theta_{i}=\lambda_{i} \partial_{x} S \theta_{i} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \theta_{i}=[S, G] \theta_{i}+\lambda_{i}^{-1} G \theta_{i} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define an invertible constant diagonal matrix with entries being the eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ corresponding to the eigenvectors $\theta_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lax pair (2.7)-(2.8) can now be written in the matrix form as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{x} \Theta=\partial_{x} S \Theta \Lambda  \tag{3.12}\\
& \partial_{t} \Theta=[S, G] \Theta+G \Theta \Lambda^{-1} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Theta$ is a particular matrix solution of the Lax pair with a matrix $\Lambda$ of particular eigenvalues.
Now we show that the matrix $M=\Theta \Lambda^{-1} \Theta^{-1}$ expressed in terms of the particular matrix solution $\Theta$ of the Lax pair (2.7)-(2.8) satisfies conditions (3.6) and (3.7) on the matrix $M$ imposed by the covariance of the Lax pair under the Darboux transformation (3.1) and (3.5). For this purpose, let us take the $x$ derivative of the matrix $M=\Theta \Lambda^{-1} \Theta^{-1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{x} M & =\partial_{x} \Theta \Lambda^{-1} \Theta^{-1}+\Theta \Lambda^{-1} \partial_{x} \Theta^{-1} \\
& =\partial_{x} S-\Theta \Lambda^{-1} \Theta^{-1} \partial_{x} S \Theta \Lambda \Theta^{-1} \\
& =\partial_{x} S-M \partial_{x} S M^{-1} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

which is equation (3.6). Now take the $t$ derivative of $M=\Theta \Lambda^{-1} \Theta^{-1}$ to get

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} M & =\partial_{t} \Theta \Lambda^{-1} \Theta^{-1}+\Theta \Lambda^{-1} \partial_{t} \Theta^{-1}, \\
& =[S, G] \Theta \Lambda^{-1} \Theta^{-1}+G \Theta \Lambda^{-2} \Theta^{-1}-\Theta \Lambda^{-1} \Theta^{-1}[S, G]-\Theta \Lambda^{-1} \Theta^{-1} G \Theta \Lambda^{-1} \Theta^{-1}, \\
& =[S, G] M+G M^{2}-M[S, G]-M G M, \\
& =[[S, G], M]+[G, M] M, \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

which is equation (3.7). This shows that the matrix $M=\Theta \Lambda^{-1} \Theta^{-1}$ satisfies conditions (3.6) and (3.7). So we have established that the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi[1]=D(x, t, \lambda) \psi=\left(\lambda^{-1} I-\Theta \Lambda^{-1} \Theta^{-1}\right) \psi \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

constitutes the Darboux transformation on the matrix solution $\psi$ of the Lax pair (2.7)-(2.8) of the generalized coupled dispersionless integrable system (2.5). The corresponding Darboux transformations on the matrix fields $S$ and $G$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& S[1]=S-\Theta \Lambda^{-1} \Theta^{-1},  \tag{3.17}\\
& G[1]=G .
\end{align*}
$$

By introducing the notations $\psi^{(1)}=\lambda^{-1} \psi$ and $\Theta^{(1)}=\Theta \Lambda^{-1}$, the one-fold Darboux transformations (3.16) and (3.17) can also be expressed in terms of quasideterminants as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi[1]=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\Theta & \psi \\
\Theta^{(1)} & \psi^{(1)}
\end{array}\right|,  \tag{3.18}\\
& S[1]=S+\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\Theta & I \\
\Theta^{(1)} & O
\end{array}\right|, \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $O$ is an $n \times n$ null matrix. The iteration of the Darboux transformation $N$ times gives the quasideterminant matrix solution to the generalized coupled dispersionless integrable system (2.5). For each $k=1,2, \ldots, N$, let $\Theta_{k}$ be an invertible matrix solution of the Lax pair
(2.7)-(2.8) at $\Lambda=\Lambda_{k}$. Now using the notations $\Theta^{(k)}=\Theta \Lambda^{-k}, \Theta[0]=\Theta_{1}, \psi[0]=\psi$ and for $N \geqslant 1$ we write

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi[N] & =\psi[N-1]-\Theta^{(1)}[N-1](\Theta[N-1])^{-1} \psi^{(1)}[N-1], \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\Theta_{1} & \cdots & \Theta_{N} & \psi \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\Theta_{1}^{(N-1)} & \cdots & \Theta_{N}^{(N-1)} & \psi^{(N-1)} \\
\Theta_{1}^{(N)} & \cdots & \Theta_{N}^{(N)} & \psi^{(N)}
\end{array}\right| . \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly the expression for $S[N]$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
S[N] & =S-\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \Theta[j]^{(1)}(\Theta[j])^{-1}, \\
& =S+\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\Theta_{1} & \cdots & \Theta_{N} & O \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\Theta_{1}^{(N-2)} & \cdots & \Theta_{N}^{(N-2)} & O \\
\Theta_{1}^{(N-1)} & \cdots & \Theta_{N}^{(N-1)} & I \\
\Theta_{1}^{(N)} & \cdots & \Theta_{N}^{(N)} & O
\end{array}\right| . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

These results can be proved by induction and the proof is identical to that for non-commutative KP equation (see e.g. [20, 21] ).

## 4. The $S U(2)$ system

In order to get explicit solutions and compare our results with the already-known soliton solutions of the coupled dispersionless integrable system, we proceed as follows. Let us make general remarks about the case when $\mathcal{G}=S U(n)$ and then focus on the specific case of $\mathcal{G}=S U(2)$. The Lie group $\mathcal{G}=S U(n)$ consists of unimodular anti-Hermitian $n \times n$ matrices. Since the matrix fields $S$ and $G$ are valued in the Lie algebra $\mathbf{s u}(n)$ of the Lie group $S U(n)$, therefore,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S^{\dagger}=-S, & G^{\dagger}=-G  \tag{4.1}\\
\operatorname{Tr} S=0, & \operatorname{Tr} G=0
\end{array}
$$

In order to have $S[1]$ and $G[1]$ to be valued in $\mathbf{s u}(n)$, we need to have $M^{\dagger}=-M$ and $\operatorname{Tr} M=0$. For the particular solutions $\theta_{i}$ at $\lambda=\lambda_{i}$, let us compute

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{x}\left(\theta_{i}^{\dagger} \theta_{j}\right) & =\bar{\lambda}_{i} \theta_{i}^{\dagger} \partial_{x} S^{\dagger} \theta_{j}+\lambda_{j} \theta_{i}^{\dagger} \partial_{x} S \theta_{j},  \tag{4.2}\\
\partial_{x}\left(\theta_{i}^{\dagger} \theta_{j}\right) & =\theta_{i}^{\dagger}\left([S, G]^{\dagger}+[S, G]\right) \theta_{j}+\bar{\lambda}_{i}^{-1} \theta_{i}^{\dagger} G^{\dagger} \theta_{j}+\lambda_{j}^{-1} \theta_{i}^{\dagger} G \theta_{j} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $S$ and $G$ are anti-Hermitian, therefore, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x}\left(\theta_{i}^{\dagger} \theta_{j}\right)=\partial_{t}\left(\theta_{i}^{\dagger} \theta_{j}\right)=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\lambda_{i} \neq \lambda_{j}\left(\right.$ i.e. $\left.\bar{\lambda}_{i}=\lambda_{j}\right)$. Also from the definition of the matrix $M$, we can calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i}^{\dagger}\left(M^{\dagger}+M\right) \theta_{j}=\left(\bar{\lambda}_{i}^{-1}+\lambda_{j}^{-1}\right) \theta_{i}^{\dagger} \theta_{j} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i}^{\dagger} \theta_{j}=0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\lambda_{i} \neq \lambda_{j}$. Given this, we conclude that the column vectors $\theta_{i}$ are all linearly independent and condition (4.5) holds at every point of space and time.

For the system with $\mathcal{G}=S U(2)$, the corresponding equation of motion consists of the hierarchy of the localized induction equation of a thin vortex filament which is equivalent to the Heisenberg spin equation and the hierarchy of dispersionless equations which are equivalent to the Pohlmeyer-Lund-Regge system and the sine-Gordon equation. Here we shall consider the coupled dispersionless integrable system which is equivalent to the sine-Gordon equation [8]. Let us introduce a vector $\phi=\left(\phi^{1}, \phi^{2}, \phi^{3}\right)$ in such a way that the matrix field $S$ is defined by

$$
S=\mathrm{i}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\phi^{3} & \phi^{1}-\mathrm{i} \phi^{2}  \tag{4.6}\\
\phi^{1}+\mathrm{i} \phi^{2} & -\phi^{3}
\end{array}\right),
$$

which is traceless and anti-Hermitian. The matrices $U$ and $V$ are then given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& U=\mathrm{i} \lambda\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{x} \phi^{3} & \partial_{x} \phi^{1}-\mathrm{i} \partial_{x} \phi^{2} \\
\partial_{x} \phi^{1}+\mathrm{i} \partial_{x} \phi^{2} & -\partial_{x} \phi^{3}
\end{array}\right),  \tag{4.7}\\
& V=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \phi^{1}-\mathrm{i} \phi^{2} \\
-\phi^{1}-\mathrm{i} \phi^{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \lambda}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By writing $\phi^{1}=r, \phi^{2}=0, \phi^{3}=q$, we get the coupled dispersionless integrable system of [8]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x} \partial_{t} q+2 \partial_{x} r r=0, \quad \partial_{x} \partial_{t} r-2 \partial_{x} q r=0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The soliton solutions to this system have been computed in [17] by using Backlund and Darboux transformations. In [17], the Darboux matrix $D(\lambda)$ has been obtained using the method described in section 3 and is given by

$$
D(\lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda^{-1}-\lambda_{1}^{-1} \cos \omega & -\lambda_{1}^{-1} \sin \omega  \tag{4.9}\\
-\lambda_{1}^{-1} \sin \omega & \lambda^{-1}+\lambda_{1}^{-1} \cos \omega
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\tan \frac{\omega}{2}=\frac{\beta}{\alpha}$ and $(\alpha, \beta)^{T}$ is a particular column solution of the Lax pair at $\lambda=\lambda_{1}$. The corresponding matrix $M$ is

$$
M=\lambda_{1}^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \omega & \sin \omega  \tag{4.10}\\
\sin \omega & -\cos \omega
\end{array}\right)
$$

which is traceless and is anti-Hermitian when $\bar{\lambda}_{1}=-\lambda_{1}$. The one-fold Darboux transformation (3.17) gives the Darboux transformation on the fields $q$ and $r$

$$
\begin{equation*}
q[1]=q+\mathrm{i} \lambda_{1}^{-1} \cos \omega, \quad r[1]=r+\mathrm{i} \lambda_{1}^{-1} \sin \omega . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assuming the seed solutions to be $\partial_{x} q=1$ and $r=0$, the one-soliton solutions turn out to be
$\partial_{x} q[1]=1+2 \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(2 \mathrm{i} \lambda_{1} x-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\lambda_{1}} t\right), \quad r[1]=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\lambda_{1}} \operatorname{sech}\left(2 \mathrm{i} \lambda_{1} x-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\lambda_{1}} t\right)$,
where $\partial_{x} q[1]$ is called a soliton of dark type and $r$ [1] is called a soliton of bright type [8].
To get simpler expressions of the Darboux transformation on scalar solutions (eigenfunctions) of the Lax pair and the scalar fields $q$ and $r$, we make a gauge transformation on the matrix fields $S, U$ and $V$. Let us introduce a matrix

$$
\Omega=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1  \tag{4.13}\\
-\mathrm{i} & \mathrm{i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

so that the gauge equivalent matrix field $\widetilde{S}$ is given by

$$
\widetilde{S}=\Omega^{-1} S \Omega=\mathrm{i}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & q+\mathrm{i} r  \tag{4.14}\\
q-\mathrm{i} r & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The Lax pair is then expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{x}\binom{X}{Y}=\mathrm{i} \lambda\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \partial_{x}(q+\mathrm{i} r) \\
\partial_{x}(q-\mathrm{i} r) & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{X}{Y}, \\
& \partial_{t}\binom{X}{Y}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\mathrm{i} r & -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \lambda} \\
-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \lambda} & \mathrm{i} r
\end{array}\right)\binom{X}{Y} . \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ be the particular scalar solutions of the Lax pair (4.15) at $\lambda=\lambda_{1}$ then the one-fold Darboux matrix for the Lax pair (4.15) is given by

$$
\widetilde{D}(\lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda^{-1} & -\lambda_{1}^{-1} \frac{X_{1}}{Y_{1}}  \tag{4.16}\\
-\lambda_{1}^{-1} \frac{Y_{1}}{X_{1}} & \lambda^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

In other words, the Lax pair (4.15) is covariant under the following Darboux transformations:
$X[1]=X^{(1)}-\frac{X_{1}^{(1)}}{Y_{1}} Y=\frac{\Delta_{1}\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}, X, Y\right)[2]}{\Delta_{2}\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)[1]}$,
$Y[1]=Y^{(1)}-\frac{Y_{1}^{(1)}}{X_{1}} X^{(1)}=\frac{\Delta_{2}\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}, X, Y\right)[2]}{\Delta_{1}\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)[1]}$,
$q[1]=q-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(\frac{X_{1}^{(1)}}{Y_{1}}+\frac{X_{1}^{(1)}}{Y_{1}}\right)=q+\partial_{t} \log \left(\Delta_{1}\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)[1] \Delta_{2}\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)[1]\right)$,
$r[1]=r-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{X_{1}^{(1)}}{Y_{1}}-\frac{X_{1}^{(1)}}{Y_{1}}\right)=-r+\mathrm{i} \partial_{t} \log \left(\frac{\Delta_{1}\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)[1]}{\Delta_{2}\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)[1]}\right)$,
where we have used the notation $X^{(1)}=\lambda^{-1} X$ and the determinants $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ are defined by
$\Delta_{1}\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}, X, Y\right)[2]=\left|\begin{array}{cc}Y_{1} & Y \\ X_{1}^{(1)} & X^{(1)}\end{array}\right|, \quad \Delta_{2}\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}, X, Y\right)[2]=\left|\begin{array}{cc}X_{1} & X \\ Y_{1}^{(1)} & Y^{(1)}\end{array}\right|$,
$\Delta_{1}\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)[1]=X_{1}$,
$\Delta_{2}\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)[1]=Y_{1}$.
Similarly, the two-fold Darboux transformations on scalar solutions $X, Y$ of the Lax pair (4.15) and $q, r$ of the coupled dispersionless integrable system (4.8) are given by
$X[2]=X^{(1)}[1]-\frac{X_{1}^{(1)}[1]}{Y_{1}[1]} Y[1]=\frac{\Delta_{1}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}, X, Y\right)[3]}{\Delta_{2}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}\right)[2]}$,
$Y[2]=Y^{(1)}-\frac{Y_{1}^{(1)}}{X_{1}} X^{(1)}=\frac{\Delta_{2}\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}, X, Y\right)[3]}{\Delta_{1}\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)[2]}$,
$q[2]=q[1]-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(\frac{X_{1}^{(1)}[1]}{Y_{1}[1]}+\frac{X_{1}^{(1)}[1]}{Y_{1}[1]}\right)=q+\partial_{t} \log \left(\Delta_{1}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}\right)[2] \Delta_{2}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}\right)[2]\right)$,
$r[2]=r[1]-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{X_{1}^{(1)}[1]}{Y_{1}[1]}-\frac{X_{1}^{(1)}[1]}{Y_{1}[1]}\right)=r+\mathrm{i} \partial_{t} \log \left(\frac{\Delta_{1}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}\right)[2]}{\Delta_{2}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}\right)[2]}\right)$,
where for each $k=1,2$ we have assumed $X_{k}, Y_{k}$ to be particular solutions of the Lax pair (4.15) at $\lambda=\lambda_{k}$ and the determinants $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ are defined by
$\Delta_{1}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}, X, Y\right)[3]=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}X_{1} & X_{2} & X \\ Y_{1}^{(1)} & Y_{2}^{(1)} & Y^{(1)} \\ X_{1}^{(2)} & X_{2}^{(2)} & X^{(2)}\end{array}\right|, \quad \Delta_{2}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}, X, Y\right)[3]=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}Y_{1} & Y_{2} & Y \\ X_{1}^{(1)} & X_{2}^{(1)} & X^{(1)} \\ Y_{1}^{(2)} & Y_{2}^{(2)} & Y^{(2)}\end{array}\right|$,
$\Delta_{1}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}\right)[2]=\left|\begin{array}{cc}Y_{1} & Y_{2} \\ X_{1}^{(1)} & X_{2}^{(1)}\end{array}\right|, \quad \Delta_{2}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}\right)[2]=\left|\begin{array}{cc}X_{1} & X_{2} \\ Y_{1}^{(1)} & Y_{2}^{(1)}\end{array}\right|$.

We can iterate the Darboux transformation $N$ times to arrive at the $N$-soliton solutions to the coupled dispersionless integrable system (4.8) expressed in a closed form as ratios of determinants. For each $k=1, \ldots, N$, let $X_{k}$ and $Y_{k}$ be $N$ particular scalar solutions of the Lax pair at $\lambda=\lambda_{k}$ respectively then by using the notations $X_{j}^{(k)}=\lambda_{j}^{-k} X_{j}$ and $X^{(k)}=\lambda^{-k} X$, we get the following result:
$X[N]=\frac{\Delta_{1}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}, X, Y\right)[N+1]}{\Delta_{2}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}\right)[N]}, \quad Y[N]=\frac{\Delta_{2}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}, X, Y\right)[N+1]}{\Delta_{1}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}\right)[N]}$,
where the determinants are defined by the following expressions. For $N$ odd the determinants $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{1}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}, X, Y\right)[N+1]=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
Y_{1} & \cdots & Y_{N} & Y \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
Y_{1}^{(N-1)} & \cdots & Y_{N}^{(N-1)} & Y^{(N-1)} \\
X_{1}^{(N)} & \cdots & X_{N}^{(N)} & X^{(N)}
\end{array}\right|, \\
& \Delta_{2}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}, X, Y\right)[N+1]=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
X_{1} & \cdots & X_{N} & X \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
X_{1}^{(N-1)} & \cdots & X_{N}^{(N-1)} & X^{(N-1)} \\
Y_{1}^{(N)} & \cdots & Y_{N}^{(N)} & Y^{(N)}
\end{array}\right| . \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

For $N$ even we have the following determinants:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{1}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}, X, Y\right)[N+1]=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
X_{1} & \cdots & X_{N} & X \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
Y_{1}^{(N-1)} & \cdots & Y_{N}^{(N-1)} & Y^{(N-1)} \\
X_{1}^{(N)} & \cdots & X_{N}^{(N)} & X^{(N)}
\end{array}\right|,  \tag{4.22}\\
& \Delta_{2}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}, X, Y\right)[N+1]=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
Y_{1} & \cdots & Y_{N} & Y \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
X_{1}^{(N-1)} & \cdots & X_{N}^{(N-1)} & X^{(N-1)} \\
Y_{1}^{(N)} & \cdots & Y_{N}^{(N)} & Y^{(N)}
\end{array}\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, after performing the Darboux transformation $N$ times, we get the following expressions of the $N$-soliton solutions to the couple dispersionless integrable system (4.8):

$$
\begin{align*}
& q[N]=q+\partial_{t} \log \left(\Delta_{1}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}\right)[N] \Delta_{2}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}\right)[N]\right), \\
& r[N]=(-1)^{N} r+\mathrm{i} \partial_{t} \log \left(\frac{\Delta_{1}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}\right)[N]}{\Delta_{2}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}\right)[N]}\right) \tag{4.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the system (4.8) is equivalent to the sine-Gordon system, therefore, the solutions to both systems are related to each other. The natural boundary conditions for the system (4.8) are

$$
\partial_{x} q \rightarrow 1 \text { (const.) }, \quad r \rightarrow 0, \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty
$$

With these boundary conditions, the solution $\varphi$ to the sine-Gordon equation $\partial_{x} \partial_{t} \varphi=2 \sin \varphi$ and the solutions $q$ and $r$ to the system (4.8) are related by

$$
\partial_{x} q=\cos \varphi, \quad r= \pm \frac{1}{2} \partial_{t} \varphi
$$

The $N$-fold Darboux transformation on $\varphi$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi[N]=\varphi+2 \mathrm{i} \log \left(\frac{\Delta_{1}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}\right)[N]}{\Delta_{2}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}\right)[N]}\right) \\
& \exp (-\mathrm{i} \varphi[N])=\exp (-\mathrm{i} \varphi)\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}\right)[N]}{\Delta_{2}\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}\right)[N]}\right)^{2} \tag{4.24}
\end{align*}
$$

which are the well-known expressions of the $N$-soliton solutions to the sine-Gordon equation [23].

## 5. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we have studied the Darboux transformation on matrix solutions to the generalized coupled dispersionless integrable system based on a non-Abelian Lie group and expressed the matrix solutions in terms of quasideterminants. For the particular case of the coupled dispersionless system which is equivalent to the sine-Gordon equation, we have defined a Darboux transformation on scalar solutions of the linear problem and expressed them as ratios of determinants as is the case with the sine-Gordon equation. There are a number of directions where the present work could be extended and the immediate one is to study the effect of Moyal non-commutativity of space coordinates on the soliton solutions of the system. Another interesting direction to pursue is to study the supersymmetric generalization of the generalized coupled dispersionless integrable system. We shall address these and the related problems in some later work.

## Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Jonathan Nimmo for helpful discussions and the Department of Mathematics, University of Glasgow for warm hospitality and provision of facilities while this work was done. I gratefully acknowledge financial support of the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan.

## References

[1] Krichever I 1992 The dispersionless Lax equations and topological minimal models Commun. Math. Phys. 143415
[2] Aoyama S and Kodama Y 1994 Topological conformal field theory with a rational W potential and the dispersionless KP hierarchy Mod. Phys. Lett. A 92481
[3] Carroll R and Kodama Y 1995 Solution of the dispersionless Hirota equations J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 286373 (arXiv:hep-th/9506007)
[4] Takasaki K and Takebe T 1995 Integrable hierarchies and dispersionless limit Rev. Math. Phys. 7743 (arXiv:hep-th/9405096)
[5] Takasaki K 1995 Dispersionless Toda hierarchy and two-dimensional string theory Commun. Math. Phys. 170101 (arXiv:hep-th/9403190)
[6] Wiegmann P B and Zabrodin A 2000 Conformal maps and dispersionless integrable hierarchies Commun. Math. Phys. 213523 (arXiv:hep-th/9909147)
[7] Dunajski M 2008 Interpolating dispersionless integrable system J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 41315202 (arXiv:0804.1234)
[8] Konno K and Oono H 1994 New coupled integrable dispersionless equations J. Phys. Soc. Japan 63377
[9] Hirota R and Tsujimoto S 1994 Note on 'New coupled integrable dispersionless equations' J. Phys. Soc. Japan 633533
[10] Kotlyarov V P 1994 On equations gauge equivalent to the sine-Gordon and Pohlmeyer-Lund-Regge equations J. Phys. Soc. Japan 633535
[11] Kakuhata H and Konno K 1995 Lagrangian, Hamiltonian and conserved quantities for coupled integrable dispersionless equations J. Phys. Soc. Japan 651
[12] Kakuhata H and Konno K 1996 A generalization of coupled integrable, dispersionless system J. Phys. Soc. Japan 65340
[13] Kakuhata H and Konno K 1997 Canonical formulation of generalized coupled dispersionless system J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 30 L401
[14] Alagesan T and Porsezian K 1996 Painleve analysis and the integrability properties of coupled integrable dispersionless equations Chaos Solitons Fractals 71209
[15] Alagesan T and Porsezian K 1997 Singularity structure analysis and Hirota's bilinearization of the coupled integrable dispersionless equations Chaos Solitons Fractals 81645
[16] Alagesan T, Chung Y and Nakkeeran K 2004 Backlund transformation and soliton solutions for the coupled dispersionless equations Chaos Solitons Fractals 2163
[17] Chen A and Li X 2007 Soliton solutions of the coupled dispersionless equation Phys. Lett. A 370281
[18] Gelfand I and Retakh V 1991 Determinants of matrices over non-commutative rings Funct. Anal. Appl. 25 91-102
[19] Gelfand I and Retakh V 1992 A theory of non-commutative determinants and characteristic functions of graphs Funct. Anal. Appl. 26 1-20
[20] Gelfand I, Gelfand S, Retakh V and Wilson R L 2005 Quasideterminants Adv. Math. 19356
[21] Gilson C R and Nimmo J J C 2007 On a direct approach to quasideterminant solutions of a non-commutative KP equation J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 3839-50
[22] Levi D, Ragnisco O and Sym A 1984 Dressing method versus classical Darboux transformation Il Nuovo Cimento 83B 34
[23] Matveev V B and Salle M A 1991 Darboux Transformations and Soliton (Berlin: Springer)
[24] Sakhnovich A L 1994 Dressing procedure for solutions of nonlinear equations and the method of operator identities Inverse Problems 10699
[25] Cieslihski J 1995 An algebraic method to construct the Darboux matrix J. Math. Phys. 365670
[26] Manas M 1996 Darboux transformations for the nonlinear Schrödinger equations J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 297721
[27] Rogers C and Schief W K 2003 Backlund and Darboux Transformations: Geometry and Modern Applications in Soliton Theory (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
[28] Gu C, Hu H and Zhou Z 2005 Darboux Transformations in Integrable Systems, Theory and Their Applications to Geometry (Berlin: Springer)

